

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,043	07/13/2001	Juan Francisco De Vicente		6152	
28599	7590 12/30/2004		EXAMINER		
JUAN FRANCISCO DE VICENTE ALBENDEA AVDA. PONTEVEDRA, 10 ESC. DCHA. 2 A			PHAN, THAI Q		
S. S. REYES	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SPAIN	SPAIN			2128	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/682,043	DE VICENTE, JUAN FRANCISCO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thai Q. Phan	2128			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 (October 2004.				
·= · ·	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) is/are pending in the application application is objected.	ewn from consideration. or election requirement. er.)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·				

Application/Control Number: 09/682,043

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 10/07/2004.

Claim was cancelled. Claims 2-3 are newly added.

Specification

Applicants' amendment to the specification has been considered and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As cited "to ease Simulated Annealing application in solving the integrated circuit placement problem" is being indefinite for failing to particular point out and distinctly claim subject matter because the claims do not point out a mechanism and criteria in the processing of easing simulated annealing for the placement problem. What does the cited "to ease Simulated Annealing" in the claim mean? How the schedule perform to ease the simulated annealing. Clarification is required.

As cited "the temperature" in claim 3 lacks of antecedent basis in the claim. What does it refer to?

As cited "each iteration" in claim 3 is unclear for what it stands for.

As cited "each iteration or local transformation" in claim 3 is unclear for what it claims for. What is local transformation in the claim?

Application/Control Number: 09/682,043

Art Unit: 2128

As cited "the run-time/quality tradeoffs parameter" is vague and lacks of antecedent basis in the claim.

As cited "the accumulated cost variation" and "the accumulated entropy variation" lack of antecedent basis in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter because the claimed invention lacks of functional language for implementation.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter because it is a plain statement of the mathematical algorithm in solving a placement problem. It does not show steps essentially operating or useful in solving a particular problem. In other words, it is a non-technology art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurokawa et al, US patent no. 6,499,133 B1.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,043

Art Unit: 2128

As per claim 2, Kurokawa anticipates a simulated annealing schedule process to ease annealing application in soling the integrated circuit placement as claimed (col. 1, lines 5-7, col. 3, line 14 to col. 5, line 8, Figs. 1 and 2).

As per claim 3, Kurokawa anticipates temperatures, accumulated cost variation, entropy variation for simulated annealing process as claimed (cols. 3-5).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims 2-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. US patent no. 4,858,147, issued to Conwell, Peter, on Aug. 1989
- 2. US patent no. 5,285,395, issued to Kamayashi, Toru, on Feb. 1994
- 3. US patent no. 5,848,403, issued to Gabriner et al, on Dec. 1998

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/682,043 Page 5

Art Unit: 2128

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Q. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-3783.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jean Homere can be reached on 571-272-3780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dec. 22, 2004

Thai Phan

Patent Examiner

Art unit 2128